

**FILED**  
**United States Court of Appeals**  
**Tenth Circuit**

**UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS**  
**FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT**

**March 25, 2025**

**Christopher M. Wolpert**  
**Clerk of Court**

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

and

PADRES UNIDOS DE TULSA; XIMENA MONSERRAT LOPEZ MENA; JORDY MADRIGAL MARTINEZ; ANTONIO MARQUEZ; RENE DOROTE O HERNANDEZ,

Plaintiffs,

v.

STATE OF OKLAHOMA; KEVIN STITT, in his official capacity as Governor of Oklahoma; GENTNER DRUMMOND, in his official capacity as Attorney General of Oklahoma; OKLAHOMA DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SAFETY; TIM TIPTON, in his official capacity as Commissioner of Oklahoma Department of Public Safety,

Defendants - Appellants,

and

VICKI BEHENNA, in her official capacity as District Attorney of Oklahoma County; STEVE KUNZWEILER, in his official capacity as District Attorney of Tulsa County,

Defendants.

No. 24-6144  
(D.C. No. 5:24-CV-00511-J)  
(W.D. Okla.)

-----

IMMIGRATION REFORM LAW  
INSTITUTE; UNITED MEXICAN  
STATES,

Amicus Curiae.

---

**ORDER**

---

Before **HOLMES**, Chief Judge, **MORITZ**, and **ROSSMAN**, Circuit Judges.

---

This matter is before us on the United States' status report and the State of Oklahoma's motion to dismiss the appeal and to vacate the judgment of the district court, both of which were filed in response to the court's order of March 13, 2025. In addition, the Private Plaintiffs, who were provisionally granted leave to intervene in this appeal, have moved to remand the case to the district court for further proceedings as necessary.

Upon consideration, the oral argument scheduled for April 10, 2025 at the Washburn University School of Law is vacated and counsel scheduled to appear are excused from attendance. After further considering the status of the Private Plaintiffs in this appeal, we clarify that they are not properly designated as appellees and their motion to intervene is denied. Private Plaintiffs' motion to remand is also denied as moot.

Finally, this appeal is dismissed as moot. On the circumstances presented here, Oklahoma's request to vacate the judgment of the district court is denied as unnecessary.

*See Janssen v. Harris*, 321 F.3d 998, 1000 (10th Cir. 2003) (noting effect of a notice of

dismissal pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(a)(1)(i)).

Entered for the Court  
CHRISTOPHER M. WOLPERT, Clerk



by: Jane K. Castro  
Chief Deputy Clerk

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE TENTH CIRCUIT

Byron White United States Courthouse  
1823 Stout Street  
Denver, Colorado 80257  
(303) 844-3157  
Clerk@ca10.uscourts.gov

Christopher M. Wolpert  
Clerk of Court

Jane K. Castro  
Chief Deputy Clerk

March 25, 2025

Mr. Garry Michael Gaskins II  
Mr. Cullen D. Sweeney  
Mr. Zachary Paul West  
Office of the Attorney General for the State of Oklahoma  
Litigation Department  
313 NE 21st Street  
Oklahoma City, OK 73105

**RE: 24-6144, United States, et al v. State of Oklahoma, et al**  
Dist/Ag docket: 5:24-CV-00511-J

Dear Counsel:

Enclosed please find an order issued today by the court.

Please contact this office if you have questions.

Sincerely,



Christopher M. Wolpert  
Clerk of Court

cc: Spencer Elijah Wittmann Amdur  
Devraat Awasthi  
Maxwell A. Baldi  
Tanya Broder  
Matt Crapo  
Nicholas David Espiritu  
Omar C. Jadwat  
Amit Jain  
Wafa Junaid  
Donya Khadem

Megan Lambert  
Joshua Adam Matz  
Christopher Morel  
Leif Eric Overvold  
Oscar Sarabia Roman  
Daniel Tenny  
Cody Wofsy  
Noor Zafar

CMW/djd